

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,239	08/18/2003	Chiu Kuei Wang	MR2049-351	2999
4586	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		SHE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, Office Antique Commence	10/642,239	WANG, CHIU KUEI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly S Smith	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	lugust 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for document in the first sentence of the certified copies of	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the prity documents have been received in Prity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(set sentence of the specification of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200 in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200 in the priority under 35 U.S.C	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(DTO 442) Densu No(a)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 152. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 3, the line leading from reference number "110" does not extend fully to the "passage". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Disclosure, Figures 6 and 7 labeled as prior art (Admission) in view of Anderson, US Patent 4,528,768.

Admission discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the locking member being pivotable with a cam head and a lever. Anderson teaches within the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,239

Art Unit: 3644

analogous art of rod attachment the use of a locking member having a cam head and lever assembly for the secure attachment and quick release of rod members to each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the cam and lever system as taught by Anderson with the invention as disclosed by Admission for the quick release and secure attachment of the members, as these two structures were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made (reference Gillming, Jr., US Patent 5,402,596 for an example of equivalent known rod attachment structure-44).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admission as modified as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mortenson, US Patent 5,167,246.

Admission as modified discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a U-shaped part having two legs that are inserted in open ends of the transverse tubes. However, Admission as modified does not disclose the use of a positioning member mounted thereto and a bolt extending through the wall of the positioning member. Mortenson teaches within the analogous art of framed connecting members the use of a sleeve having a bolt extending there through (13) for the purpose of the secure fitting and quick adjustment of frame members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sleeve and bolt extension with the U-shaped legs of Admission for securely fitting the members together with the ability for quick adjustment.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cano-Rodriguez et al. (US 6,528,169), Chen (US 6,305,869), Gillming, Jr. (US

Art Unit: 3644

5,402,596), Wallace et al. (US 4,852,290), Wiese, (Des. 326,076, particular reference to Figure 16), Wang (US D473,838).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-8515. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

kss

Charles T. Jordan